

Hidden Conspiracies

By
I Am An Englishman

For the sake of clarity, the names of those mentioned in this essay, whom I know to be Jewish, will be coloured red.

The Australian author, Thomas Keneally, wrote the novel, *Shindler's Ark*, in 1982.

In 1993, the Stephen Spielberg opus, *Schindler's List*, won the Oscar for Best Picture. The film and the book were based loosely on the true story of Oscar Schindler, who managed to prevent a good many Jews from being sent to the concentration camps by the Nazis in the 1940s.

After watching this film, Tony Blair said:

"There are not many books or films that change our perception of the world but that did it for me."

It's nice to know that a major political figure can be so swayed by a work of fiction, albeit based on a true story, that he will allow that fiction to affect his judgement in the monumental way that Tony Blair has done.

As one commentator has said:

"Precisely because *Schindler's List* has been watched by large numbers of people who had very little previous knowledge of the Holocaust, and cannot be expected to gain much more knowledge in the future, this specific version of the event may remain the only source of information about it for many of its viewers."

That's the problem, you see.

Most folks think that if they've read *Exodus* or *The Diary of Ann Frank* or they've watched *Shindler's List*, or learned about the "holocaust" in school, that's all there is to be said on the matter.

They never stop to question whether the novel, the diary, the film or the schoolbook are distorting the facts, exaggerating them, lying about them or leaving anything out. As Alexander Pope once said, "A little learning is a dangerous thing".

At this point I guess that some will already be reaching for the antiSemitic dictionary for a few choice epithets.

I warn you though, the chap who indirectly implied that Tony B was a dunce for basing his opinion of the "Holocaust" on *Shindler's List* was a Jew himself.

Omer Bartov wrote that little acid drop in his essay, *Spielberg's Oskar: Hollywood Tries Evil*.

If Tony B had read the 1990 book, *Two Worlds of Judaism: The Israeli and American Experiences*, by Charles Liebman and Steven Cohen, I wonder if his "perception of the world" would have changed?

You see, in this work these Jewish academics say this:

"The myth of the Holocaust teaches that, throughout their history of persecution, the Jews have been blameless, their oppressors irrational".

What do you think?

If *Shindler's List* "did it for" him, do you think such contradictory information as that contained in *Two Worlds of Judaism* might do it for him as well?

Personally, I doubt it.

When you're as bought and paid for as Our Dear Leader happens to be, anyone who criticises your paymaster is mistaken, wrong, a downright liar, a criminal, a nutcase, a noxious admixture of all of these, or, even worse, an anti-Semite, even if those proffering the critique just happen to be Jewish themselves.

In October, 1996, at the Labour Party Conference in Blackpool, Tony Blair said:
"The Jewish community's principles are... precisely those things for which Labour stands today... The renewal of our ties with the communities is one of the best things that has happened to us."

In January, 1997, Tony B said this:

"I am well aware of continuing concerns on the part of the Board of British Deputies and the police about... the increasing dissemination of Holocaust denial propaganda... There is a very strong case that denial of the Holocaust should be a specific offence. We are giving active consideration to how this should be achieved. This will stand alongside our commitment to strengthen the laws against incitement to racial hatred."

On the 30th of April, 1998, Tony Blair visited Israel. Whilst there he said this:

"I know that today's 50th anniversary of the establishment of the State of Israel, marks not only fifty momentous years, but is also a milestone in the 4,000 year, or more, history of an extraordinary people. And I believe that the establishment of the State of Israel, remains one of the most remarkable and miraculous events of our time... This, I believe, is a moment to give thanks, to be proud..."

The State of Israel has meant much, not only to Jews, but I would like to say to you, to all of civilisation. For it is a country, that in those fifty short years, despite all the problems, has maintained a vibrant democracy".

The "establishment of the State of Israel" might well be thought of as "one of the most remarkable and miraculous events of our time" by the Israelite, the Jew and all of those who wish to kiss Jew bottom, but does TB really think that the Palestinians, the

Arabs, the Muslims and a sizeable majority of the thoughtful in the Western World would agree with him on this one?

As for the "vibrant democracy", do we think TB Liar would use the same phrase before a room full of those whose homes have just been bulldozed? He continues:

"I was delighted to make my first official visit as Prime Minister to Israel last week and feel part of those 50th anniversary celebrations... as you know, we went straight from the airport to Yad Vashem, to pay tribute to the Six Million Martyrs... And on the way back, I could not help notice... the thousands and thousands of gravestones marking the fallen across all of Israel's wars...particularly those who fought in Israel's War of Independence...

It was a sight which made me both humble (and) understand the State of Israel... it was, with a great sense of pride, that I saw again... the unshakable degree of friendship, the ties of partnership, shared destiny and history... it is that sense of friendship which I reaffirm to you this evening, not only as Prime Minister of Great Britain today, but as a profound friend of Israel and the Jewish people...

Ladies and Gentlemen, it is perhaps no coincidence that the founder of modern Zionism, Theodore Herzl, in his single most famous turn of phrase, evoked a vision to inspire the Jewish people and the world. 'If you will it, it is no dream', he said at the turn of the last century.

And now, as this century comes to a close and we reflect on the... birth of the State of Israel, I pay my tribute to all those many thousands in Israel, here in Britain, throughout the Diaspora, who have made that dream a reality; who took that dream and turned it into the Jewish State... 'Ohev Shalom, VeRodef Shalom'. 'I am a man of peace and I will always seek peace'.

Ladies and Gentlemen, it is my honour to propose tonight, a toast to the State of Israel."

In October, 2001, at the New Labour Party Conference, Tony Blair said:

"JEWS, MUSLIMS AND CHRISTIANS ARE ALL CHILDREN OF ABRAHAM...

This is the moment to bring the faiths closer together... The Kaleidoscope has been shaken. The pieces are in flux... let us re-order this world around us...

The state of Israel must be given recognition by all".

In June, 2004, Tony Blair put in an appearance at the annual luncheon of the Labour Friends of Israel group.

At this function, Blair pledged to oppose the farm animal welfare council's call to outlaw shechita, the slaughtering of animals without pre-stunning. Orthodox Jews continue to practice this method of slaughter.

Several Early Day Motions were introduced into parliament dealing with the shechita issue. Two of these EDMs called for the practice to be banned. Almost none of those

who were so vociferous in their call for hunting to be banned, a good number of whom just happened to be Jewish, signed either of them.

TB's appearance was reported in full by Richard Ferrer, a journalist who works for the Totally Jewish website.

This is what was said:

"It is good to be among friends... Your community is a beacon on so many core issues, standing for compassion and human rights and freedom... LFI brings Labour's message to the Jewish community and stands up for Israel, while also recognising the needs of the Palestinians. I feel strongly about Israel and admire its many achievements...

What concerns me is that the conflict in the middle-east is used to fuel anti-Semitism in Britain. I will not tolerate this... a stable Iraq will be good news for Israel... for the sake of middle east stability Israel cannot remain the region's only democracy... I assure you that nothing this government does will put your religious freedoms at risk. I will safeguard your religious rights...

Britain will remain a friend to Israel in tough times and good. Both countries believe in liberty, democracy and the rule of law and share a determination never to give in to the terrorists that threaten our way of life".

Liberty and democracy?

The kind of democrat who slaughters those who disagree with him is no democrat at all in my book.

Within a few days of the article above being posted, the TJ website had removed it. It can no longer be seen at its original web address.

In February, 2005, after describing himself as a "very, very strong supporter of the Jewish community and Israel".

Blair added:

"We introduced as a government Holocaust memorial day and I think you'd be hard pressed to find anybody who'd dealt with us at an official level in the Jewish community who has not seen us as extremely sensitive to the concerns that the Jewish community have.

We have been staunch supporters of Israel, staunch defenders of the Jewish community, and aggressively against any form of racism."

On the 23rd of February, 2005, TB said:

"There has not been a bigger supporter of the state of Israel than this Government and this Prime Minister."

In July, 2003, TB decided that the "entire" Arab world needed a good telling off and he lectured them thus:

"The state of Israel should be recognised by the entire Arab world, and the vile propaganda used to indoctrinate children, not just against Israel but against Jews, must cease."

Interestingly, just a few months before Tony B wagged his finger sternly at the indoctrinators, Louise Ellman, New Labour MP for Liverpool Riverside, told the House of Commons where this "vile propaganda" was coming from:

"From its charter, Hamas teaches Palestinians that Jews control the world's wealth and mass media, that they caused the... Russian revolution... The cancer must be stopped; it is time to speak out... The Government should make it clear that the demonising of Jews, whatever its source, is not acceptable, whether it is found in countries such as Egypt, Syria, Iran, Saudi Arabia, the Palestinian Authority, the embryo Palestinian state, or, indeed, on the streets of this country."

So, you can be certain that Ellman, who is one of the 30 or so MPs in Westminster who are known to be Jewish, would have welcomed the Prime Minister's threatening words on that day in July, 2003.

Ellman is one of the many MPs who has never signed an Early Day Motion critical of Israel. In fact, she is just about the most vociferous of those who would defend everything Israel and the wider Jewish community does, no matter how reprehensible. Alternatively, she routinely labels those who would criticise Israeli behaviour in the Middle East, and Jewish behaviour elsewhere, as "anti-semitic" and racist.

However, what if Hamas is telling the truth? If Hamas is telling the truth, Ellman is equating the truth with a "cancer" that "must be stopped" and Tony B Liar is describing that which is not SPIN as "vile propaganda".

Well, anyone who has eyes to see and a brain to think with has to be aware that Jewish ownership and control of the greater part of the newspapers, television, radio, publishing houses, recording companies and Hollywood is out of all proportion to their numbers on the planet. The fact that our governments have allowed this tribal monopoly to blossom in the way that it has says a very great deal about those who rule our lives. It wouldn't be stretching the truth too much to say that every opinion that most of us have ever had has, to some extent, been filtered through a sieve of Jewish attitude and belief.

For Ellman to try to con the British into believing that such an eminently discoverable and obvious truth is a lie, just because that truth was told by a bunch of Palestinian terrorists/freedom fighters, says a great deal about the mindset of the Jew in power, which insists that the fashionable indoctrination of the age be believed and not the actuality. Ms. Ellman's stridency and anger at such a demonstrable fact only serves to lessen her credibility.

So, do Jew's control the world's wealth?

To some extent, certainly. The Jews have always been monstrously over-represented at the heart of global financial power. Which is why on 9/11 the World Trade Centre was attacked. Attacked in New York, the one place on earth where most Jews live. What do the Jews do? What have they always done? They trade. As in World TRADE Centre.

They have a lot more "wealth" than fair shares entitle them to, that's for sure. Ellman's criticism of Hamas for pointing this out is disingenuous and irritating. Most of the adults on the planet are not going to take up arms on her behalf on this one.

If you were to study the 2004, Times Rich List you would discover that, at the highest level in this country, Jews are at least 40 times more wealthy than they ought to be according to their incidence in the general population.

Page 19 of the July 17, 1999 edition of The Daily Mail quotes a study by credit research organisation, Experian, which confirms this impression:

"A survey identifying Britain's wealthiest family names by their postcard areas has produced a fascinating insight into the national makeup of the 50 surnames most common among the movers and shakers... More than 20 are from Jewish families ... There are more Cohens in the top group than any other family name. Just behind are Levy, Bloom and Wolf ... This is confirmed by other surveys, for instance the Sunday Times Rich List 1999, which featured three Cohens, Betterware multimillionaire Andrew, Courts furniture chain tycoon Bruce and DIY store boss Frank. Hugely wealthy Levys include 59-year old Peter, chairman of the London-based Shaftsbury property company, while the Wolfs are represented by Sir John, a legend in Britain's films...

And then there is the Labour Party's principal donor, Lord Sainsbury. Sainsbury has donated over £9,000,000 to New Labour. Blair rewarded him with the Ministerial post of Science Minister. This position is crucial in respect of Sainsbury's business and political interests in bio-technology. In 2002, Blair and Sainsbury will jointly host a science forum extolling the benefits of Genetically Modified Food."

As Science Minister, Sainsbury is now in a perfect position to foist genetically modified food, crops and seeds upon the rest of us.

He is a major shareholder in various companies which deal in this technology. As of now, Sainsbury has given New Labour more than £12,000,000.

In his year 2000 book, The Jewish Phenomenon. Seven Keys to the Enduring Wealth of a People, the US/Jewish author, Steven Silbiger, treats us to his analysis of the 1999, Forbes 400 Rich List.

He says:

"Jewish individuals accounted for 23 percent of the entire group, 36 percent of the top fifty and 24 percent of the billionaires, eleven, eighteen, and twelve times their relative percentage in the US population at large. And these percentages in the Forbes

400 have been consistent over time, although the players change from year to year; studies of the lists from 1982, 1983, and 1984 conducted by others reveal similar figures."

When you also consider that, until October, 2005, Alan Greenspan was the boss of the US Federal Reserve and, thus, had more financial clout than anyone else on the planet; George Soros, the man who, more than any other, gave us Black Wednesday in 1992; and the chief architect of Gulf War II, Paul Wolfowitz, has just been installed as the Governor of the World Bank by George Bush, and all three of these gentlemen are Jews, you can see that Louise Ellman and Tony Blair are being somewhat disingenuous when they criticise Hamas for stating the bleeding obvious. Wolfowitz took over at the World Bank from James Wolfensohn. Wolfensohn, too, is a Jew.

As for the Russian Revolution, well, when Lenin died in 1924, the top three men in the Bolshevik hierarchy were: Trotsky, Zinoviev and Kamenev.

Stalin was, at that time, either fifth or sixth in the chain of command. Indeed, if Jacob Sverdlov had not died in 1919 it is entirely likely that he would have inherited Lenin's mantle.

All of the above, with the exception of Stalin, were Jews.

Aside from this, the Cheka, the genocidal forerunner of the KGB, who murdered millions of innocents throughout the Empire in the 1920s, was largely staffed by Jews. Alexander Solzhenitsyn says two thirds of the Cheka were Jewish, and Richard Pipes, professor of History at Harvard University, who is Jewish himself, tells us:

"There exists a detailed description of the operations of the Kiev Cheka in 1919 by one of its staff, I. Belerosov, a former law student and tsarist officer, which he gave to general Denikin's investigators. According to Belerosov, at first the Kiev Cheka went on a, 'continuous spree' of looting, extortion and rape. Three-quarters of the staff were Jews, many of them ruffraff incapable of any other work, cut off from the Jewish community although careful to spare fellow Jews."

In the years 1921 and 1922 about 5,000,000 peasants died in the Volga regions of Russia.

Most died of starvation as a result of Lenin's brutal collectivist policies but many were executed as well. A. B. Khalatov, who was Jewish, was Lenin's head of food allocations in the Soviet Union at this time. In The Chicago Tribune the journalist, Donald Day wrote:

"Artemic Bagratovich Khalatov headed that branch of the Cheka which organized the food supply of the Soviets. He organized the punitive expeditions of the Cheka which confiscated the grain and foodstuffs from the peasants. A policy whose direct result was the great famine of 1920-21."

In 1933 there was an even greater slaughter when Stalin gave Lazar Kaganovich the office to deal with the Ukrainian peasantry. By the time Kaganovich had finished with them somewhere between seven and nine million Ukrainians were dead. Kaganovich and Khalatov were also Jewish.

The historian well knows all of this. Just because such things have been edited out of every schoolbook in the West, doesn't mean they didn't happen.

So, it does appear that when Ellman expresses her fury with Hamas for teaching the fact that "Jews... caused the Russian revolution" she is not outraged because the representatives of Hamas are telling lies, she is outraged because they are telling the truth.

Just in case there one or two of you haven't figured it out yet, let me tell you why Ellman does not want the rest of us to know of the Jewish role in the Russian revolution and the Hungarian slaughter instigated by Bela Kun, the murderous bestiality of the Cheka, the Communist coups in 1919 Germany led by Rosa Luxemburg, Karl Liebknecht, Kurt Eisner and Eugen Levine, and the genocide of 7-9 million Ukrainians, for which true "holocaust" Stalin's brother-in-law, Lazar Kaganovich, was primarily responsible.

She wants the events described above kept secret because, if we ever got to know what Jews such as Trotsky, Sverdlov, Kamenev, Zinoviev, Kun, Luxemburg, Liebknecht, Eisner, Levine and Kaganovich were truly capable of, we would realise that the German people had every right to be wary of the Jew within their own population during these terrible times; and, we would also know that vital information was deliberately kept from us by our own politicians during the run up to WWII. Sound Blairily familiar?

In February, 1997, just before he became PM, Our Dear Leader said this:

"I think that everyone deplores the sentiments expressed by those who deny the holocaust. It undoubtedly occurred, it is a matter of absolute historical fact".

In April, 1998, less than a year after he got the top job he instructed us all thus in "Holocaust" numerology.

"I was delighted to make my first official visit as Prime Minister to Israel last week... we went straight from the airport to Yad Vashem, to pay tribute to the Six Million Martyrs."

Tony Blair's toadying servility to all things Jewish has been clearly documented throughout the essays at this website.

However, in the categorical statements he makes above, he affords me the opportunity to point up an enormously important lie that has been rammed into the minds of the most vulnerable at an international level for almost sixty years now.

Jewish orthodoxy clings tenaciously to the "6,000,000" statistic whenever the numbers of Jewish dead in WWII are discussed. Scholarly appraisal of historical information pertaining to these events, including that of most Jewish scholars, dismisses the 6,000,000 figure out of hand.

There is no absolute consensus, mostly due to the censorship of any definitive study of the matter by the Jews themselves, but almost all sensible historians put the actual total of Jewish dead at 1.5 million or less. Most of these died during the last few months of the war, due to typhus and starvation; the latter being occasioned, not by sadistic Nazi directive, but by the systematic allied destruction of the railway lines and rolling stock which transported supplies to the camps. This aspect of the bombing campaign was promoted and encouraged, almost without reservation, by the spokesmen and women of World Jewry at the time and almost all of the camp inmates themselves are known to have wanted the camps to be attacked.

Since the end of WWII, no national government has ever launched an official investigation to determine the precise number of deaths that the "Holocaust" was responsible for. Every time this idea was mooted it was howled down by a Jewish establishment, who insisted that their version of events was not negotiable. Public enquiry has been demonised as 'holocaust denial' and, throughout Europe, the ordinary citizen who would merely investigate this historical grey area, has been systematically criminalised by the European politician. To question the orthodox mythology has become the heresy of our age.

To get as near to the actuality as we can, we must take a look at some the statistics and estimations that have been assembled over the years by those historians who were not dissuaded from investigation. Most interesting of these assessments must be those compiled by Jews themselves. Certainly, there have been many who saw it as their duty to do their best to verify the accepted mythology, but others, to their credit, maintained a neutrality of sorts that would, as their analyses progressed, starkly challenge the fashionable wisdom of the times.

Rabbi Stephen Wise was the son of a Rabbi and the grandson of Joseph Hirsch Weisz, a Grand Rabbi of Hungary.

He was the founder and first Secretary of the Federation of American Zionists, which later became the Zionist Organisation of America. He also founded the American Jewish Congress and was its President in the years 1925-9 and 1935-49.

Wise also became the US Chief Rabbi and was the major leadership figure of American Jewry for many years. Wise was enormously influential in governmental circles and could gain an audience whenever he wished to do so with both Woodrow Wilson and FDR.

As early as 1900, The New York Times of the 11th of June, 1900, records Wise telling a Zionist rally that:

"There are 6,000,000 living, bleeding, suffering arguments in favour of Zionism".
Did you get that?

6,000,000.

Bit of a coincidence, don't you think?

38 years later on the 18th of April, 1938, The New York Times reported Wise as having said:

"Millions of Jews are dying today".

Very few Jews had been killed in Germany, judicially or otherwise, from the time Hitler came to power up the point when Wise made his remarks and, though they had been disenfranchised somewhat, only the most suspect had ended up in a concentration camp.

The pot-stirring Rabbi was telling a flat, wholly nonsensical lie on that day in April, 1938, just as he had in 1900.

In 1919, Louis Marshall, who was a tremendously influential US jurist and civil liberties lawyer, founded the American Jewish Committee.

He was the President of this organisation from 1912 to 1929.

He was very active in the National Association for the Advancement of Coloured People and fought landmark legal battles on behalf of Black Americans.

Marshall also the most prominent and active member of the Jewish delegation at the Paris Peace Conference in 1919.

In 1919, Marshall said:

"The horror of the Pogroms in Poland and Ukrainia will 'stagger the world'... Tens of thousands of Jews have been killed."

In 1919, Leo Motkin, who was the Secretary of the Combined Jewish Committee at Paris Peace Conference told us that:

"120,000 have been killed... Ukrainian Judaism fears total extermination... undoubtedly dozens of thousands have been massacred."

And, also in 1919, Rabbi Tittlebaum says that:

"Hundreds of thousand of Jews had been killed and wounded in the Ukraine."
Jews were killed during the Russian Revolution but, compared to the ethnic Russian and Ukrainian peasant population, the Jewish suffering was negligible.

The "statistics" are propaganda, nothing more..

On the 20th of February, 1932, sometime before Hitler had come to power in Germany, The New York Times carried an article with the headline: Racial Bias Viewed as Threat to Peace. This article said:

"The Jewish people all over the world face a war of extinction, Dr. Tenenbaum declared in an address".

Joseph Tenenbaum was the Chairman of the American Jewish Congress at this time.

I suppose that the on-message might say that Tenenbaum was blessed with the gift of prophecy or, at least, was exercising intelligent foresight but, in truth, he was doing what the Jews have always done, he was exaggerating wildly for maximum effect.

The Peel Commission was convened by the British Government in the 1930s in order to try to get to grips with the deteriorating situation in Palestine.

On the 25th of November, 1936, Chaim Weizmann, President of the World Zionist Organization, testified before the Commission thus:

"It is no exaggeration to say that six million Jews are sentenced to be imprisoned in this part of the world, where they are unwanted, and for whom the countries are divided into those, where they are unwanted, and those, where they are not admitted."

There's that "six million" figure again that the Jews seem so fond of.

At this time NO Jews had died a judicial death in Germany as a result of German anti-Semitism..

In the preface to Don Heddesheimer's book, *The First Holocaust: Jewish Fund Raising Campaigns with Holocaust Claims During and After World War One*, Germar Rudolf tells us this:

"Even though gas chamber claims were not part of the propaganda pattern of the 1910s and 1920s, there is one known exception, which was published by the London Daily Telegraph on March 22, 1916, p. 7:

ATROCITIES IN SERBIA. 700,000 VICTIMS

The Governments of the Allies have secured evidence and documents, which will shortly be published, proving that Austria and Bulgaria have been guilty of horrible crimes in Serbia, where the massacres committed were worse than those perpetrated by Turkey in Armenia...

Women, children, and old men were shut up in the churches by the Austrians and either stabbed with the bayonet or suffocated by means of asphyxiating gas. In one church in Belgrade 3,000 women, children, and old men were thus suffocated.'

Of course, today no historian claims that the Austrians or any of their allies ever committed mass murder with poison gas in Serbia during World War One. This was nothing but black propaganda issued by the British government and eagerly disseminated by the British media.

But juxtapose this with an article that appeared in the same London Daily Telegraph on June 25, 1942, p. 5, that is, five days before the Jewish owned and controlled New York Times reported about the alleged mass murder of Jews in German controlled Europe for the first time:

GERMANS MURDER 700,000 JEWS IN POLAND. TRAVELLING GAS CHAMBERS

More than 700,000 Polish Jews have been slaughtered by the Germans in the greatest massacre in world history.'

This time, however, we all know that these claims were true, don't we? And it is also true that at the end of the 20th century nobody would seriously accuse any country in the world of having built gas chambers and stocked Zyklon B to murder all Jews, hence, that the Jews would once more face a holocaust, an extinction of millions. After all, that was something uniquely German and 'Nazi,' which does not happen again, right?

If you think that it is obvious that nobody would make such outrageous claims, I have to teach you another quite astounding lesson: Let me bring up only two examples from a war that took place almost 50 years after the second holocaust propaganda started, in 1991. It is about America's first war against Iraq to drive Iraqi troops out of Kuwait. The New York based Jewish Press, then calling itself 'The largest independent Anglo-Jewish weekly newspaper,' wrote on its title page on February 21, 1991:

IRAQIS HAVE GAS CHAMBERS FOR ALL JEWS

Or take the front cover announcement of volume 12, number 1 (spring 1991), of Response, a periodical published by the Jewish Simon Wiesenthal Centre in Los Angeles and distributed in 381,065 copies:

GERMANS PRODUCE ZYKLON B IN IRAQ...

In 1991, it was all invented, for sure, as were the later claims prior to America's second war against Iraq in 2003 that Iraq possessed or was about to possess weapons of mass destruction... as Israel's renowned newspaper Ha'aretz proudly proclaimed:

'The war in Iraq was conceived by 25 neoconservative intellectuals, most of them Jewish, who are pushing President Bush to change the course of history.'

Because, as we all know, the Jews in Israel deserve preventive protection from annihilation by weapons of mass destruction, Zyklon B or not, invented or not... So maybe not quite all claims referring to events between 1941 and 1945 are completely true?

Maybe there is a chance after all that things were twisted, distorted, exaggerated, invented?"

In an official 1945 document, Rudolf Hoess, the Auschwitz commandant, "confesses" to a Jewish death toll of 4,000,000.

The Report of the Soviet War Crimes Commission cited Hoess's 4,000,000 figure at the Nuremberg trials and it became the basis for the 6,000,000 mantra that, nowadays, must not be questioned.

In 1946, the American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee, stated that there were 5,012,000 missing Jews in Europe, not 6,000,000.

In the 1947 "holocaust" history, *Five Chimneys: a Woman Survivor's True Story of Auschwitz*, Olga Lengyel says:

"In round numbers, about 24,000 corpses were handled each day."

This figure suggests that six million corpses could have been "handled" in just eight months, which is absurd.

In 1951, Jewish historian, Leon Poliakov told us that 2,000,000 Jews died at Auschwitz, not 4,000,000.

In 1953, Jewish historian, Gerald Reitlinger, estimated that the number of Jews deliberately "exterminated" during WWII was 2,796,000. However, he also stated his belief that only 850,000 of these died as a result of Nazi persecution, not 6,000,000.

On the 18th of July, 1961, a figure of 2,500,000 was quoted by the Attorney General at the Adolf Eichmann trial, reading from an affidavit supplied by Auschwitz survivor, Rudolf Vrba, not 4,000,000.

In 1963, David Ben Gurion, the first Prime Minister of Israel, stated that, although the official Jewish population of America was said to be 5,600,000, he believed the total number could be as high as 9,000,000.

By 1965, 3,375,020 Jewish "survivors" were registered with the West German Republic as having suffered under the Nazis, all claiming reparations for physical or psychological suffering allegedly incurred between 1939 and 1945.

If all these claims were genuine, of the Jews that came under Nazi domination, 3,375,020 were still very much alive in 1965. Chambers Encyclopaedia tells us that the total number of Jews living in pre-war Europe was just 6,500,000. 6,500,000 minus 3,375,020 equals 3,124,980.

That's 3,124,980 Jews absent from Europe who, according to Chambers, were there before WWII began.

This figure, in fact, does not take into account the many Jews who were able to leave Germany under their own steam in the year preceding the outbreak of hostilities, nor does it consider those who will have died from natural causes between 1945 and 1965.

Nevertheless, this information suggests that 3,124,980 Jews were still missing in 1965, not 6,000,000.

In 1973, Jewish historian, George Wellers, stated his belief that 2,000,000 Jews died at Auschwitz.

However, by 1983, he had revised this figure downward to 1,352,980, not 4,000,000.

That same year, in an episode of his history, *The Ascent of Man*, Dr. Jacob Bronowski, the man who was the symbol of professorial Jewish sagacity and rectitude for the British TV audience from the mid 50s to the early 70s, chose not to enlighten the masses when he earnestly pronounced that 4 million people had, indeed, died at Auschwitz.

In 1975, in an episode of the Jeremy Isaacs' documentary series *The World at War*, we were told that 5,000,000 Jews died in WWII, not 6,000,000.

In 1975, Jewish historian, Lucy Davidowicz, told us that 2,000,000 Jews died at Auschwitz, not 4,000,000.

In 1975, *The Guinness Book Of World Records*, reported that the former French Deputy, Professor Paul Rassinier, a Buchenwald survivor, had published evidence in 1964 stating that the total Jewish death count during WWII could have not exceeded 1,200,000, not 6,000,000.

In December, 1978, the French historian, Dr. Robert Faurisson said this in *Le Monde*: "No one questions the use of crematories in certain German camps. The mere frequency of epidemics throughout Europe at war demanded the cremation, for example, of the bodies of typhus victims. It is the existence of 'gas chambers,' as a veritable slaughterhouses for humans, that is called into question. Since 1945, the questioning has been growing. The mass media is aware of this..."

Whereas thousands of documents on the Auschwitz crematories are in our possession, there exists neither a directive to build, nor a study, nor an order of material, nor a blueprint, nor a bill, nor any photograph, as regards the 'gas chambers' which, we are told, adjoined those crematories. At a hundred trials, no evidence has been produced... In 1978, anyone in Germany who speaks out in favor of Thies Christophersen, author of *The Auschwitz Lie*, risks a conviction for 'defaming the memory of the dead.' After the war, the International Red Cross, which had investigated 'the rumor of Auschwitz', the Vatican, the Nazis, the collaborators, all declared, along with many others:

'The 'gas chambers'? We did not know.' But how can one know of things that did not exist?

Nazism is dead and gone, together with its Führer. There remains today the truth. Let us dare to proclaim it. The non-existence of the 'gas chambers,' is good news for poor humanity. Good news that it would be wrong to keep hidden any longer."

On the 21st of February, 1979, *Le Monde* published the following response to Faurisson's original article by the aforementioned Léon Poliakov and Pierre Vidal-Naquet, two French Jewish intellectuals.

"It must not be asked how, technically, such a mass murder was possible. It was technically possible given that it took place. That is the requisite point of departure of any historical inquiry on this subject. It is incumbent upon us to simply state this

truth: there is not, there cannot be, any debate about the existence of the gas chambers."

Do you understand what you have just read?

The Jews have told you that mass murder took place. Therefore you must believe it. There must be no enquiry, no "debate." Because it is the Jews who have told you this they do not have to furnish any proofs that mass murder took place. You will believe what you are being told because it is the Jews who are telling you.

A dog walks by.

A Jew tells you the dog is a cat. You do not believe the Jew and ask him to prove it. The Jew complains to the authorities who arrest you and imprison you for asking a Jew to prove that a dog was a cat when he told you it was.

All over Europe men and women of Northern European stock are behind bars for daring to ask embarrassing questions about the "holocaust".

All most of them ever wanted was proof. If you are a Jew, not only do you do not have to provide it, you can have those who had the temerity to ask for it locked away.

In 1980, the Claude Lanzmann introduction to Filip Muller's book, Three Years in an Auschwitz Gas Chamber, stated that 3,500,000 Jews had died in WWII, not 6,000,000.

In the 1981 "history", Return to Auschwitz, Kitty Hart, a Polish Jewess, wrote: "Working around the clock, the four units together could dispose of about 18,000 bodies every twenty-four."

This figure accords almost exactly with Olga Lengyel's 1947 figure and, likewise, stupidly equates to 6 million dead in eight months.

In his 1981 book, Auschwitz and the Allies, Martin Gilbert, Winston Churchill's best known biographer, states:

"The deliberate attempt to destroy systematically all of Europe's Jews was unsuspected in the spring and early summer of 1942: the very period during which it was at its most intense, and during which hundreds of thousands of Jews were being gassed every day at Belzec, Chelmo, Sobibor and Treblinka."

200,000 a day?

This ridiculous nonsense equates to 6,000,000 dead in just one month.

This Jewish "academic" is a liar and a fraud and deserves to be exposed as such.

In 1982, Jewish historian, Yehuda Bauer stated his belief that between 2 and 4 million Jews died at Auschwitz.

By 1989, however, Bauer had reached a different conclusion and stated that just 1,323,000 Jews had, in fact died.

Not 4,000,000.

On the 22nd of September, 1989, The Jerusalem Post quoted Bauer thus:
"The larger figures have been dismissed for years, it just hasn't reached the public yet."

In 1985, Jewish historian, Raul Hilberg, stated that 5,000,000 Jews had died during WWII.

By 1998, however, he was saying that just 2.8 million Jews had died during WWII, not 6,000,000.

The 18th of January, 1985, edition of The Sault Star tells us that Hilberg, testifying in the trial of a holocaust revisionist in a Canadian court, said:

"No scientific reports prove Jews were exterminated in Nazi gas chambers... German war documents contain no mention of killing Jews... and there are no autopsy reports indicating a single person died from exposure to poisonous gas in chambers."

As far as I know, no Jew who ever concluded that the six million figure was incorrect and made his opinion known has ever been imprisoned.

However, throughout Europe many non-Jews have been imprisoned for doing exactly the same thing.

In 1990, the Jewish newspaper, Aufbau, said estimated Auschwitz deaths totalled 900,000, not 4,000,000.

In 1990, Franciszek Piper, the Jewish spokesman for the Auschwitz State Museum, declared that 960,000 Jews had died in Auschwitz, not 4,000,000.

At the 2005, "Holocaust" commemoration at Auschwitz, we were told that 1,100,000 people were killed there, of which 1,000,000 were Jewish. Thus the world was offered an "official" death toll in 2005 which stipulated that 40,000 more Jews died in Auschwitz than Piper's 1990 "official" death toll recorded.

In 1990, the German Jewish newspaper, Allgemeine Jüdische Wochen Zeitung, estimated that 4,000,000 Jews had died during WWII.

However, by 1992, the newspaper had revised its findings, suggesting that just 1,500,000 Jews had died.

Not 6,000,000.

The 1994 German version of Jewish historian Jean Claude Pressac's "holocaust" investigations, on behalf of the Beate Klarsfeld Foundation, Die Krematorien von Auschwitz/Die Technik des Massenmordes, records the number of Auschwitz deaths

at between 630,000 and 710,000, of which between 470,000 and 550,000 were Jewish.

Not 4,000,000.

In 1995, the Polish President Lech Walesa announced that 1,500,000 was the "official" number of Auschwitz deaths newly determined by the historians at the Auschwitz museum, 5 years after the "new" figures had been announced.

Not 4,000,000.

Elie Wiesel is a professional "Holocaust survivor".

He is primarily known as a commentator on that event and is one of the most celebrated "eyewitnesses" to it.

However, when Wiesel and his father, as Auschwitz prisoners, had the choice of either, leaving with their retreating German "executioners" or remaining behind in the camp to await the Soviet "liberators," the two decided to leave with their German captors.

After his release from captivity, he went to Israel and became an active member of Menachem Begin's Irgun, one of the two principal terrorist organisations which murdered, amongst others, more than 300 British policemen and soldiers from 1944 until the Brits pulled out in 1948.

In 1999, *The Holocaust in American Life*, by Peter Novick, was published.

In this book, Wiesel is quoted thus:

"The holocaust is a sacred mystery, whose secrets were confined to a priesthood of survivors."

Let me enlighten as to what Wiesel means in this last quotation.

He means that the non-Jew, or even the doubting Jew, must not dare to investigate what he and people like him have told us about the "Holocaust." The testimony of the Holocaustian Jew must be taken as gospel.

That "mystery" which is "sacred" must be received as a matter of faith, and, as with all other holy mysteries, must forever remain mysterious if it is to retain its sacredness. No matter what the evidence, no matter what the conflicting testimony or history.

Anyone who breaches this faith will be deemed a "holocaust denier," and punished accordingly.

On the 15th of March, 2003, a few days before the invasion of Iraq, Wiesel was quoted thus in George Bush's radio address to the nation:

"We have a moral obligation to intervene where evil is in control. Today, that place is Iraq."

35 years before he said that, this gentle, Jewish sage said this in the 1968 book, *Legends of Our Time*:

"Whoever does not hate when he should does not deserve to love when he should... Every Jew, somewhere in his being, should set apart a zone of hate, healthy, virile hate, for what the German personifies and for what persists in the German. To do otherwise would be a betrayal of the dead."

In the 1990 work, *Celebration Talmudique*, Wiesel opined thus:

"A Jew is closer to humanity than anybody else."

Wiesel the wonderful was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize in 1986.

In his year 2000 book, *The Holocaust Industry: Reflections on the Exploitation of Jewish Suffering*, the Jewish historian, Professor Norman Finkelstein said these things:

"If 135,000 former Jewish slave labourers are still alive today, some 600,000 must have survived the war. That's at least a half-million more than standard estimates. If Jews only constituted 20% of the surviving camp population and, as the Holocaust industry implies, 600,000 Jewish inmates survived the war, then fully 3 million inmates in total must have survived.

By the Holocaust industry's reckoning, concentration camp conditions couldn't have been that harsh at all; in fact, one must suppose a remarkably high fertility and remarkably low mortality rate. If, as the Holocaust industry suggests, many hundreds of thousands of Jews survived, the Final Solution couldn't have been so efficient after all - exactly what Holocaust deniers argue".

"The Holocaust has proven to be an indispensable ideological weapon. Through its deployment, one of the world's most formidable military powers, with a horrendous human rights record, has cast itself as a 'victim' state, and the most successful ethnic group in the United States has likewise acquired victim status. Considerable benefits accrue to this specious victimhood - in particular, immunity to criticism, however justified."

"Both my father and my mother were survivors of the Warsaw ghetto and the Nazi concentration camps... One of my father's lifelong friends was a former inmate with him in Auschwitz, a seemingly incorruptible left-wing idealist who on principle refused German compensation after the war. Eventually he became a director of the Israeli Holocaust museum, Yad Vashem. Reluctantly and with genuine disappointment, my father finally admitted that even this man had been corrupted by the Holocaust industry, tailoring his beliefs for power and profit."

"The Holocaust proved to be the perfect weapon for deflecting criticism of Israel."

"The field of Holocaust studies is replete with nonsense, if not sheer fraud."

"Given the nonsense that is turned out daily by the Holocaust industry, the wonder is that there are so few skeptics."

"The Holocaust may yet turn out to be the "greatest robbery in the history of mankind."

I wonder if, by citing only the conclusions of the Bauers, the Reitlingers, the Hilbergs, Pressacs and Finkelsteins, who, over the years, have decided that the 6/4,000,000 statistics are inaccurate, the non-Jews who languish in prison throughout Europe, guilty of "holocaust denial", might have been able to withstand the vehemence of their accusers and remained as free as all of those "holocaust denying" Jewish historians.

I say this:

If so many august Jewish commentators have over the years concluded that many less than six million Jews perished at the hands of the Nazis during WWII, then those who would criminalise the non-Jew for wishing only to know and say what the Jew has always been at liberty to know and say, are themselves guilty of a racist crime. The chosen are allowed to investigate, to discover and disseminate their findings, the rest of us are demonised, criminalised and possibly imprisoned if we attempt to do the same. That this is unfair to the point of racial discrimination can surely not be denied.

Pictured below is the memorial stone that commemorated the Auschwitz dead from 1948 to 1990:



The plaque below replaced the 1948 plaque in 1990.



Just in case you haven't got it yet, here is the math:

$$4,000,000 - 1,500,000 = 2,500,000.$$

In 1990, at least 2,500,000 should have been lopped off the 6,000,000 figure that the Jews and their groupies insist the rest of us must not question.

Thus, the highest possible figure for Jewish dead at the hands of the Nazis in WWII could not possibly have exceeded 3,500,000.

At least, it couldn't have according to the memorial plaques pictured above.

However, the year before the 1990 plaque was hastily laid and the 1948 plaque disappeared, the reason for the extraordinary and unexplained statistical change had already been evidenced.

In 1989, the most startling indictment of the "holocaust industry" was revealed for all the world to see.

In that year, President Mikhail Gorbachev opened the Soviet archives and introduced a 46-volume register of deaths at Auschwitz up until the end of 1943 to the world. These registers stipulated a death toll, according to the Historiography Project, of just 67,227 for all causes in the Nazi's most infamous concentration camp!

The Historiography Project provides an in depth breakdown of the figures here:

<http://www.historiography-project.org/misc/deathbooks.html>

However, on 21 September 1989, a reporter from the Soviet newspaper, TASS, was allowed access to the books and reported that 74,000 people had died.

Thus, as it's possible that the TASS reporter had access to information that was not available to the Historiography Project, we will accept the larger figure.

Anyway, this is what TASS had to say:

"The fate of people of many countries, victims of the Second World War, is to be determined from the personnel records of 130,000 prisoners of Auschwitz and the death lists of this concentration camp. These papers have now turned up in the Soviet archives. In 46 large file binders the details were minutely recorded. Death records were filled in for over 74,000 people giving their precise birthdate and parents' names as well. Tass correspondent Valentina Fatyukhina reports on this.

The head of the Soviet Red Cross tracing service will according to Valentina Fatyukhina hand over these records to representatives of the International Red Cross. It had been known for some time that these records were preserved in the Soviet Union, in fact since 1964 when the trial of concentration camp guards from this camp took place in Frankfurt am Main.

A Soviet representative produced several of these files on that occasion. Valentina Fatyukhina reports further that when Europe was liberated from Fascism by the Soviet Army these documentary materials ended up in the Soviet Union and landed in various archives. This obviously impeded the search for them.

Valentina Fatyukhina spared no trouble in her search. She directed countless inquiries and applications to official quarters. But at that time many archives remained closed. Only in the last eighteen months, as access to the archives was permitted, were these records uncovered. Nobody suspected the presence of 130,000 personnel cards in the archives. The International Red Cross intends to exploit these records as rapidly as possible."

47,539 of the 74,000 Auschwitz dead died from typhus.

Partisans, many of whom were Jewish, boasted that this disease was introduced into the camps as part of their war effort.

The registers also tell us that just 38,031 of the dead were Jewish!

The records also state that between 1935 and 1945, 403,713 people died in the entire German prison camp system. That's 403,713 over a 10-year period. Some of these were executed, some committed suicide. Most died of disease, old age, or, after the transportation system had been devastated by the allies towards the end of the war and the food ran out, starvation.

As far as I know The Spectator, (Diary, 7 October 1989 and 25 November 1989) and The Evening Standard are the only British newspapers who have informed the British people of some the startling facts that TASS put before the Russian people in 1989. On 3 March 1991, The New York Times, which has been owned by a Jewish family for over a hundred years and has been largely staffed and run by Jewish journalists during that time, also confirmed the existence of the Auschwitz "Death Books" and the statistics contained in them.

For almost half a century now, almost all of those below the very highest level of government have been deliberately deceived by the "holocaust" industry.

At Auschwitz itself, tour guides, books and films insisted that everything the visitor saw was "in its original state".

The 1948 memorial plaque was translated into 19 different languages. This plaque, as previously stated, was replaced in 1990. The 1990 plaque was, itself, removed recently and the original numbers of Auschwitz dead revised even further downwards. The Auschwitz site now states that 1,100,000 people died there, 960,000 of them Jewish. According to the death registers produced by the Soviets six years earlier, even these figures are wildly exaggerated.

67,227 or 74,000 up to the end of 1943, 1,100,000 just after the camp was liberated by the Soviets just over a year later? That those who still choose to believe the lie are fooling themselves, when there is now so much contradictory evidence out there, is surely beyond question.

Throughout the concentration camp system the death totals have been coming down consistently.

When the Majdanek (Lublin) camp was liberated in July 1944, the Soviets said that 1.7 million people had been murdered there.

By the time the Nuremberg Trials began in November 1945, they had revised this figure down to 1.5 million. In 1948, the Main Commission for the Investigation of Nazi Crimes in Poland were saying that 360,000 had died there and, as late as 1998, the Madjanek Museum guidebook, was stating that the total number of deaths there was in excess of 234,000.

In December 2005, the same Museum announced that Tomasz Kranz has established that 78,000 people had lost their lives at Majdanek.

The mainstream Churchill historian, Martin Gilbert, has written:

"Between 300,000 and 350,000 people were murdered here in Majdanek over a period of three years."

Gilbert's authoritatively partial guesswork will be influencing British schoolchildren as I type this.

He is, of course, Jewish.

Dachau, used to have a sign up that said 240,000 Jews died there, gassed in "ovens" by the Nazis. Nowadays, a new sign states that 19,000 people of all races died there, mainly of typhus and starvation.

Nowhere does it say that anyone ever died in an "oven".

The symbolic 6,000,000 figure was based upon the Auschwitz total produced by a Soviet system headed by the bloodthirsty grotesque, Joseph Stalin, the second greatest mass-murderer in all human history.

The greatest mass-murderer was Mao Tse Tung. Both of these gentlemen took their cue from the 1848 work: The Communist Manifesto, which was written by Karl Marx.

Karl Marx was descended from Jewish rabbinical families on both sides of his pedigree.

4,000,000 at Auschwitz, 2,000,000 elsewhere.

Well, it doesn't take a magician to work out that if only 960,000 Jews were killed at Auschwitz, as is now claimed by the curators of the Auschwitz museum, then we have a shortfall of more than 3,000,000 Jewish deaths, and the 6,000,000 statistic must be revised downwards by at least that much as a consequence.

In the last ten years, since Lech Walesa, the Polish President, endorsed the 1,500,000 statistic, have you ever heard an aggressive advocate of the "holocaust" say that any less than six million died? Have you ever heard a politically correct politician say anything other than the same?

In the last 17 years, since it became known that only 38,031 Jews died at Auschwitz up to the end of 1943, when the "Final Solution" had, supposedly, been in full swing for almost two years, have you ever heard a British politician admonish those who would still have us believe that 6,000,000 Jews died at the hands of the Nazis, despite all the incontrovertible evidence to the contrary?

You have not.

But you will have heard some of them repeating the same old "holocaust" industry mantra, and you will have been aware of a "Holocaust Memorial Day" foisted upon a credulous nation by a clutch of obscenely dishonest Jewish fraudsters and ultra-corrupt non-Jewish wagtails like Tony Blair.

Those of you who take an interest in these things will also have noticed that, at the Labour Party conference in September, 1996, Robin Cook, the Shadow Foreign Secretary, committed the New Labour Government-in-waiting to future legislation criminalising the "holocaust denier".

Sure enough, in December, 1996, Mike Gapes, the MP for Ilford South, tabled a private members bill to this effect.

Then, on the 12th of February, 1997, Gapes gave notice, in the House of Commons, of his intention to introduce such a bill, saying:

"Up to 6 million people of Jewish origin... were exterminated... Many countries have adopted laws against denial of the holocaust... literature disseminated in schools which denies the holocaust... that people died simply of starvation or as a result of allied bombing... material of that kind is offensive and untruthful..."

It is time, by one means or another, either to enact a specific law to make it an offence to deny the holocaust, or to amend the existing law in the Public Order Act 1986 to make denial an offence.

My right hon. Friend the Leader of the Opposition (Tony Blair) ... said that it was time for us to give serious consideration to such action. Moreover, since I gave notice of my intention to present my Bill, the Board of Deputies of British Jews, the Holocaust Educational Trust and many others have given their support. That suggests that my proposals have widespread backing...

Some people may ask: 'What about freedom of speech?'...

There is no such thing as absolute freedom of speech... I believe that the balance must be adjusted so that we can deal with the problems more effectively... Some people will say that we do not need a new law. I hope that hon. Members will demonstrate that we do."

So there you have it, folks, not only does this MP want discussion of the Jewish dead in WWII rendered out of bounds, he wants free speech in Britain done away with.

Gapes also said this:

"Following Hitler's defeat... there began a systematic campaign of disinformation, distortion and lying about the past by Nazi sympathisers and neo-Nazi groups".
Well, I'm not a "Nazi sympathiser", nor am I part of a "neo-Nazi group".

I am an Englishman who doesn't like being lied to.

I don't want those so committed to eradicating real history from the consciousness of the people as a whole in a position where they can tell me what to do, what to think or how to behave. Especially when they would demonise and criminalise me for trying to discover the facts for myself when I can't get the truth out of them.

I don't believe that such people have my best interests at heart. I don't want such people in Parliament and I don't want them behind the scenes, pulling the politicians strings, paying their real wages and seeing to it that only the most morally challenged can ever get elected in the first place.

How can we possibly have faith in a politician who would rather join with a proven deceiver to maintain an obscene deceit, than allow us to know the simple truth?

How could we ever have put our trust in such people? How can we ever trust them again?

"Holocaust" mythology has coloured everything we have ever believed, everything we have ever done and everything we have ever been.

It was first constructed before the fathers and mothers of most of the people alive on the planet today were born. It has become, in the hands of the unscrupulous, nothing less than a state sponsored religion. And it's a lie. A state sponsored lie.

Many Jews died in the Second World War, some of them in the most dreadful circumstances. But nowhere near as many died as those who insist that we commemorate the "holocaust" would have us believe.

Whatever the actual numbers, you can be sure than the true figure is much closer to 600,000 than the 6,000,000 officially sanctioned myth.

So, when a politician beats the drum for "Holocaust Memorial Day", I must confess I'm a tad sceptical.

If that makes me a racist, an anti-Semite, a white supremacist, a hater, a bigot, a fascist or a neo-nazi, ask yourself this:

Now that you know what I know, what does it make you?

Rudi Vis, who is Dutch, is one of 130 or so MPs sitting in English constituencies, whose ancestors are, for the most part, buried elsewhere on the planet.

Since he became an MP in 1997, he has signed the vast majority of those Early Day Motions which were sympathetic to Israel in particular and the Jewish experience in general; and yet, before the Israelis began to construct their monstrous Separation Wall", he had never signed an EDM critical of Israel.

Why should this be? Surely a man who is prepared to sign as many EDMs as he does, could have denounced those members of the Israeli Defence Force who murdered Iain Hook and Tom Hurdall, two unarmed English men who were trying to protect innocent civilians at the time they were deliberately targeted and shot?

Well, as far as Vis is concerned, he has his priorities very well worked out. He is the MP for Finchley and Golders Green, which happens to have just about the largest constituency of Jewish voters in the UK.

This is what the British people are faced with now.

Our country is being run by unscrupulous men and women who are happy to put the plans, demands and sensibilities of the sinister fixers, whose power, money and influence grease the parliamentary pole, before the interests of the indigenous majority. It has been this way for a very long time now. Behaviour preferential to the few used to be kept well under wraps for fear of majority retaliation at the polls, but nowadays it's out there, in your face all the time.

It's as if the politicians know they've been rumbled but they just don't care because the majority can no longer do anything about it. If the Tories piss you off, who can you vote for? - New Labour.

Different cast, same play.

If you vote for the Liberals you get the understudies.

If you vote for no one, well, you get nothing.

If you vote for an independent local candidate whom you know will stand up for you no matter what, well, as old Joe Stalin, once said:

"I care not who votes in an election; I care only who counts the vote".

Why do you think the Postal Voting scam was introduced?

Why do you think, despite all the criticisms of it, New Labour are not proposing to get rid of it?

Those who truly rule our lives know they can get away with anything, so they do as they damn well please and to hell with the concerns of the great unwashed.

As for poor, old Rudi Vis, perhaps we shouldn't be too hard on him.

He has, at least, signed quite a few EDMs latterly, condemning the Separation Wall. I might be wrong but I think that his constituency bosses will have given him permission to do so.

Canny lot, the Jews. They know that there is a dangerous and ever-growing awareness of their dreadful behaviours in Israel. They know that "holocaust" propaganda is beginning to grate. They know that many now realise that the US Neoconservatives who forced the second Gulf War upon us did so with Israel in mind. They know that one or two are beginning to figure out that Bush and Blair are both creatures of the Jews. In this context, it's better to grab a few credits with the Big Bad Wolf before he wakes up and blows your house down.

Anyway, Rudi was only doing what they all do. It's been the fashion for the average parliamentarian to put his career a long way in front of his sense of what is just for quite some time now. I guess an MP chosen to stand for a constituency like Finchley and Golders Green would be committing electoral suicide if he didn't keep on the right side of those who saw to it that he got elected in the first place.

The MP for Finchley from 1959 to 1992 was Margaret Thatcher.

If you can't quite believe what you've read here and you want to check on another expose of the Holocaust Industry, well, there are plenty of them about.